

# CONVERGENCE OF INTERPOLATING CARDINAL SPLINES: POWER GROWTH

BY

S. D. RIEMENSCHNEIDER<sup>†</sup>

## ABSTRACT

Let  $f(x)$  be the restriction to the real axis of an entire function of exponential type  $\tau < \pi$  and of power growth on the axis. Then the  $n$ th order cardinal spline,  $\mathcal{L}_n f(x)$ , interpolating  $f(x)$  at the integers converges uniformly on compacta to  $f(x)$ . This is also true of the respective derivatives. An example shows that exponential type  $\pi$  is not necessarily permitted. The proof utilizes distribution theory and estimates on the derivatives of the Fourier transform of the fundamental spline  $L_n(x)$ .

For a natural number  $n$ , the space  $\mathcal{S}_n \cap F_s = \{S(x)\}$  of cardinal splines with power growth and of degree  $n-1$  is taken to consist of those functions satisfying:

- i)  $S \in C^{n-2}(-\infty, +\infty)$ ;
- ii)  $|S(x)| = \mathcal{O}(|x|^s)$  for some  $s \geq 0$ ;
- iii)  $S(x)$  reduces to a polynomial of degree at most  $n-1$  on each of the intervals  $[\nu + (n/2), \nu + (n/2) + 1]$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbf{Z}$ , i.e.  $S(x)$  has knots at the integers or half integers if  $n$  is respectively even or odd.

For a sequence  $y = \{y_\nu\}_{\nu=-\infty}^{+\infty} \in Y_s$ ,  $Y_s = \{y: y_\nu = \mathcal{O}(|\nu|^s) \mid |\nu| \rightarrow +\infty\}$ , there is a unique element  $\mathcal{L}_n y \in \mathcal{S}_n \cap F_s$  interpolating the given data at the integers, i.e.  $\mathcal{L}_n y(\nu) = y_\nu$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbf{Z}$ . The present paper deals with the following questions: Suppose that the data  $y = \{y_\nu\}_{\nu=-\infty}^{+\infty}$  arises from some "suitable" function  $f$  by  $y_\nu = f(\nu)$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbf{Z}$ . When does  $\mathcal{L}_n f(x)$  converge to  $f(x)$  and in what sense is the convergence? What are the "suitable" functions?

Questions of this type have been studied by I. J. Schoenberg [7], [8], [9], Richards and Schoenberg [5], and Marsden, Richards and Riemenschneider [3]. In the monograph [9], Schoenberg raises the question as to the existence of a

<sup>†</sup> This research is partially supported by Canadian National Research Council Grant A-7687. Received June 21, 1974 and in revised form January 26, 1976

comprehensive theory that would cover the various known cases of convergence. In this report, some new results are given which are based on the work of R. R. Goldberg [1] and which is believed to lead towards a comprehensive theory with the aid of distribution theory.

In Section 2, the uniform convergence on bounded sets of  $\mathcal{L}_n f(x)$  to  $f(x)$  is shown in the case when  $f(x)$  is the restriction to  $\mathbf{R}$  of an entire function of exponential type  $\tau < \pi$  with power growth on the real axis. In the final section, an example is given to show that the above theorem cannot be strengthened to allow exponential type  $\pi$ .

**1. Preliminaries**

The fundamental cardinal spline,  $L_n(x)$ , is the unique element of  $\mathcal{S}_n$  which interpolates the data  $y_\nu = 0, \nu \neq 0, y_0 = 1$ . The unique element  $\mathcal{L}_n y(x) \in \mathcal{S}_n \cap F_s$  interpolating  $y \in Y_s$  admits the representation

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_n y(x) = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{+\infty} y_\nu L_n(x - \nu), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}$$

(Schoenberg [8]).

An important role is played by the Fourier transform representation of  $L_n(x)$ ,

$$(1.2) \quad L_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [\psi_n / \phi_n(u)] e^{iux} du$$

where  $\psi_n(u) = [(2/u) \sin u/2]^n$  and  $\phi_n(u) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi_n(u + 2\pi j)$  (see Schoenberg [6], [7], and [8]). Its pertinence to convergence results was demonstrated in [3].

In [3], it was shown that  $|(\psi_n / \phi_n)(u)| \leq \min [1, (\pi/u)^n]$  and that  $(\psi_n / \phi_n)(u)$  converges uniformly to  $\chi_{[-\pi, \pi]}(u)$  outside arbitrarily small intervals about  $\pm \pi$ . The object of this section is to extend these results to the derivatives of  $\psi_n / \phi_n$ .

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $s$  be a natural number  $\geq 1$ . Then*

i) *for  $2\pi k - \pi \leq u \leq 2\pi k + \pi, k \in \mathbf{Z}, k \neq 0, \pm 1,$*

$$(1.3) \quad \left| \left( \frac{\psi_n}{\phi_n} \right)^{(s)}(u) \right| = O \left( n^s \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{-n} |k|^{-n} \right)$$

and

ii) *for  $\varepsilon_{n,s} = \pi(s + 1) \log n/n,$  and  $0 \leq |u| \leq \pi - \varepsilon_{n,s}$  or  $\pi + \varepsilon_{n,s} \leq |u| \leq 3\pi,$*

$$(1.4) \quad \left| \left( \frac{\psi_n}{\phi_n} \right)^{(s)}(u) \right| = O(1/n).$$

PROOF. It will be convenient to introduce the function

$$(1.5) \quad f(u; n) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^j [u - 2\pi j]^{-n}$$

and to observe that for any integer  $k$

$$(1.6) \quad f(u; n) = (-1)^{kn} \left\{ (u - 2\pi k)^{-n} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_{j,n}(u - 2\pi k) \right\}$$

where  $C_{j,n}(u) \geq 0$  for  $0 < u \leq \pi$  and  $C_{j,n}(u) \leq 0$  for  $-\pi \leq u < 0$  (see [3, prop. 1.2]). Finally,

$$(1.7) \quad [u^n f(u; n)]^{-1} = (\psi_n / \phi_n)(u), \quad u \neq 2\pi k.$$

Let  $D$  denote the differentiation operator, and let the letter  $A$  denote an absolute constant which is independent of  $u$  and  $n$  (but not of  $s$ ) and which may change from line to line. Further, all inequalities are assumed to hold for  $n$  sufficiently large.

For  $2\pi k - \pi \leq u \leq 2\pi k + \pi$ ,  $u \neq 2\pi k$ ,  $k \neq 0$ , (1.6) and (1.7) can be used to obtain

$$|D(\psi_n / \phi_n)(u)| \leq A |k| n [ |u^{n+1} f(u; n)(u - 2\pi k)| ]^{-1}.$$

By the periodicity of  $|f(u; n)|$  and the fact that  $|u^n f(u; n)|^{-1} \leq 1$ , it follows that

$$(1.8) \quad |D(\psi_n / \phi_n)(u)| \leq A |k| n |u - 2\pi k|^{n-1} |u|^{-n-1},$$

and, consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} |D(\psi_n / \phi_n)(u)| &\leq A |k| n \pi^{n-1} [\pi \min\{|2k - 1|, |2k + 1|\}]^{-n-1} \\ &\leq A n \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{-n} |k|^{-n} \end{aligned}$$

for  $2\pi k - \pi \leq u \leq 2\pi k + \pi$  and  $k \neq 0, \pm 1$ .

For  $k = \pm 1$ , and  $\pi + \varepsilon_{n,1} \leq |u| \leq 3\pi$ , equation (1.8) gives

$$|D(\psi_n / \phi_n)(u)| \leq A n \left(1 - \frac{2 \log n}{n}\right)^{n-1} \leq A/n.$$

Finally, for  $k = 0$ , and  $0 \leq |u| \leq \pi - \varepsilon_{n,1}$ , notice that  $\sum_{j \neq 0} (-1)^j [u - 2\pi j]^{-n-1} = \mathcal{O}(\pi^{-n})$ . Hence, we can obtain

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} |D(\psi_n / \phi_n)(u)| &\leq A n \pi^{-n} |u|^{-n-1} \\ &\leq A n \left(1 - \frac{2 \log n}{n}\right)^{n-1} \leq A/n. \end{aligned}$$

The argument is carried over to arbitrary  $s$  by induction on equations of the form (1.8) and (1.9). First assume that for  $2\pi k - \pi \leq u \leq 2\pi k + \pi$ ,  $u \neq 2\pi k$ ,  $k \neq 0$ , and any  $m$ ,  $1 \leq m < s$ , there holds

$$(1.10) \quad |D^m(\psi_n/\phi_n)(u)| \leq A |k| n^m |u - 2\pi k|^{n-m} |u|^{-n-1}.$$

From the nature of the function  $f(u; n)$ , Leibnitz's rule yields

$$(1.11) \quad |D^l[u^n f(u; n)]/u^n f(u; n)| \leq A n^l |u - 2\pi k|^{-l}.$$

Finally, equations (1.10) and (1.11) combine with Leibnitz's rule on the product  $[u^n f(u; n)](\psi_n/\phi_n)(u)$  to obtain equation (1.10) for  $m = s$ .

Using (1.10) with  $m = s$  in the same manner that (1.8) was used above, we readily obtain the theorem except for the interval  $0 \leq |u| \leq \pi - \epsilon_{n,s}$ .

For the remaining case, assume that for  $0 < |u| < \pi$  and  $1 \leq m < s$ , there holds

$$(1.12) \quad |D^m(\psi_n/\phi_n)(u)| \leq A |n|^m |u|^{n-m} |\pi|^{-n}.$$

Since (1.11) holds even with  $k = 0$ , (1.12) and (1.11) combine with Leibnitz's rule to yield (1.12) for  $m = s$ . Then (1.12) can be used to finish the result. The theorem is proven.

COROLLARY 1.3 For  $\pi - \epsilon_{n,s} < |u| < \pi + \epsilon_{n,s}$ ,  $|(\psi_n/\phi_n)(u)| = \mathcal{O}(n^s)$ .

**2. The main results**

I. J. Schoenberg [10] has shown a convergence result when the cardinal splines interpolate a function which is the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of a bounded measure on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . (See Theorem 2.3 below.) The next step beyond measures is to obtain a theorem for Fourier transforms of distributions with support in  $[-\pi, \pi]$ , particularly, since the Paley-Wiener-Schwartz theorem (see [11, p. 311]) gives a 1-1 correspondence between entire functions of exponential type  $\tau \leq \pi$  with polynomial growth on the  $x$ -axis and Fourier transforms of such distributions. In a different context, R. Goldberg [1] essentially began the discussion that follows.

Let  $y = \{y_\nu\} \in Y_s$ , then there is a  $2\pi$ -periodic distribution  $T^-$  whose Fourier series is

$$(2.1) \quad T^- = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{+\infty} y_\nu e^{i\nu t}$$

where the series converges in the sense of tempered distributions. The Fourier transform of  $T^-$  is given by

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{F}(T) = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{+\infty} y_\nu \delta_\nu$$

where  $\delta_\nu$  is point evaluation at  $\nu$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $y = \{y_\nu\} \in Y_s$ . Then the  $n$ th order cardinal spline,  $\mathcal{L}_n y(x)$ , which interpolates the data  $y$  at the integers is the Fourier transform of the tempered distribution  $(T^- \psi_n / \phi_n)$  i.e.*

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{L}_n y = \mathcal{F}(T^- \psi_n / \phi_n).$$

**PROOF.** The cardinal spline is visibly given by the convolution  $\mathcal{L}_n y(x) = (\mathcal{F}(T^-) * L_n)(x) = (\mathcal{F}(T^-) * \mathcal{F}(\psi_n / \phi_n))(x)$ . Since  $\psi_n / \phi_n$  is a quotient of cosine polynomials and  $\phi_n(u) > 0$  (Schoenberg [6]), a standard theorem from distribution theory gives the result (see [11, chap. 30]).

We now determine how Theorem 2.1 can be used to help solve the convergence problem. The  $2\pi$ -periodic extension,  $T^-$ , of the distribution  $T$  with support in  $(-\pi, \pi)$  is essentially the (generalized) derivative of some  $2\pi$ -periodic function. The distribution theory will allow us to formally integrate by parts, and then apply the estimates in Theorem 1.1 on the derivatives of  $\psi_n / \phi_n$ .

**THEOREM 2.2** *Let  $f(x)$  be the restriction to  $\mathbf{R}$  of an entire function of exponential type  $\tau < \pi$  which has polynomial growth on the real axis. Then, for any natural number  $s$ ,*

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(\mathcal{L}_n f)^{(s)}(x) - f^{(s)}(x)| = 0$$

*uniformly on bounded subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$ .*

**PROOF.** By the Paley-Wiener-Schwartz theorem, there is a unique distribution  $T$  with support in  $[-\tau, \tau]$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(T)(x) = f(x)$ . Further, there is a natural number  $r$  and a continuous function  $h$  with support in  $(-\pi, \pi)$  such that for any test function  $\phi$ ,  $\langle T, \phi \rangle = \langle h^{(r)}, \phi \rangle = (-1)^r \langle h, \phi^{(r)} \rangle$ . Let  $T^-$  be the  $2\pi$ -periodic extension of  $T$  and let  $h^-$  be the corresponding  $2\pi$ -periodic extension of  $h$ . Let  $D$  represent the differentiation operator.

Since  $\psi_n / \phi_n$  and its derivatives to order  $r$  decrease to zero as  $|u| \rightarrow +\infty$  ( $n > r + 2$  say), the function  $\mathcal{L}_n f(x)$  may be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_n f(x) = \mathcal{F}(T^- \psi_n / \phi_n)(x) = \frac{(-1)^r}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h^-(u) D^r [e^{ixu} \psi_n(u) / \phi_n(u)] du.$$

Also,  $\mathcal{F}(T)(x) = [(-1)^r / 2\pi] \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} h(u) D^r [e^{ixu}] du.$

For  $s = 0$ , we need to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & | \mathcal{F}(T^{-} \psi_n / \phi_n)(x) - \mathcal{F}(T)(x) | \\
 (2.5) \quad & = (1/2\pi) \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h^{-}(u) D^l [e^{ixu} \psi_n(u) / \phi_n(u)] du \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(u) D^l [e^{ixu}] du \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Leibnitz's Rule for differentiation, and breaking the integration over intervals of the form  $2\pi k - \pi \leq u \leq 2\pi k + \pi$ , the various parts of (2.5) can be estimated. For  $k \neq 0, \pm 1$ , and  $l \geq 0$  an integer, a typical term of (2.5) will involve  $D^l [(\psi_n / \phi_n)(u)] D^{r-l} [e^{ixu}]$ , and Theorem 1.1 will allow the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |x|^{r-1} \int_{2\pi k - \pi}^{2\pi k + \pi} D^l [(\psi_n / \phi_n)(u)] |h^{-}(u)| du \\
 & \leq |x|^{r-l} n^l (3/2)^{-n} |k|^{-n} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |h(u)| du.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the integration on  $(-\infty, -3\pi]$  and  $[3\pi, \infty)$  will be majorized by a finite linear combination of sums of the form  $|x|^{r-l} n^l (3/2)^{-n} \sum_{|k|=2}^{+\infty} |k|^{-n}$ , which tend to zero uniformly on bounded subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

On  $[-3\pi, 3\pi]$ , the support of  $h^{-}$  will not intersect intervals of length  $2\pi(r+1) \log n/n$  centered on  $\pm\pi$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Thus, by equation (1.4) for  $l > 0$ ,  $|D^l [(\psi_n / \phi_n)(u)]| = \mathcal{O}(n^{-l})$ , and the terms in (2.5) involving these derivatives over the interval  $[-3\pi, 3\pi]$  will also converge to zero uniformly on bounded subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

The remaining two terms are dominated by

$$(1/2\pi) |x|^r \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |h(u)| |1 - \psi_n / \phi_n(u)| du + \int_{\pi \leq |u| \leq 3\pi} |h^{-}(u)| |\psi_n / \phi_n(u)| du \right\}$$

which also converge to zero by nature of the support of  $h$  [3, prop. 1.2]. Therefore, the theorem is proven for the case  $s = 0$ .

The case  $s > 0$  introduces a power  $t^s$  into the integrals to be estimated. On  $[2\pi k - \pi, 2\pi k + \pi]$ , the power  $t^s$  is bounded by a constant times  $|k|^s$ . For large  $n$ , the  $|k|^{-n}$  estimate in (1.3) dominates and the argument carries through as before.

In the case of a bounded measure, the estimates in proposition 1.2 of [3] and the fact that  $\psi_n / \phi_n(\pm\pi) \rightarrow 1/2$  can be applied directly with Theorem 2.1 to yield the following theorem of Schoenberg without the restriction of  $n$  even.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $f(x) = (1/2\pi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ixu} d\mu(u)$  for  $\mu$  a bounded measure on  $[-\pi, \pi)$ . If  $\mu$  has no atom at  $-\pi$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_n f(x)$  converges uniformly to  $f(x)$ . If  $\mu = \delta_{-\pi}$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_n f(x)$  converges uniformly to  $\frac{1}{2}[f(x) + f(-x)] = \cos \pi x$ .*

**3. An example**

It is natural to ask whether the restriction to exponential type  $< \pi$  can be dropped from Theorem 2.2. In any case, such convergence would have to be interpreted modulo an additive term of the form  $P(x)\sin \pi x$ . Recently, D. J. Newman [2] has provided a counter-example to uniform convergence for bounded exponential type  $\pi$ . Below we provide a counter-example to uniform convergence on bounded sets for exponential type  $\pi$  and power growth on the axis.

For the data  $z_\nu = (-1)^{\nu+1}$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ ,  $z_\nu = (-1)^\nu$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbf{Z}^-$  or  $\nu = 0$ , Richards [4] has shown that  $\mathcal{L}_n z(1/2)$  is asymptotic to  $(2/\pi) \log n$ . The formal Fourier series  $\sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{+\infty} z_\nu e^{-i\nu t}$  exists as a tempered distribution and equals  $1 + g^{(2)}(t)$  where  $g(t)$  is the continuous periodic function given by  $g(t) = \left| \sum_{\substack{\nu=-\infty \\ \nu \neq 0}}^{+\infty} [z_\nu / (i\nu)^2] e^{-i\nu t} \right|$  ([12, corol. 2.4-3b, p. 51]). Let  $T$  be the distribution defined by

$$\langle T, \phi \rangle = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi(t) dt + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) \phi^{(2)}(t) dt.$$

The Fourier transform of  $T$  is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(T)(x) = \frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x} + \frac{(ix)^2}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) e^{ixt} dt.$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{F}(T)(\nu) = z_\nu$ . This is an entire function of exponential type  $\pi$  whose restriction to  $\mathbf{R}$  is of power growth and which interpolates the data  $z_\nu$  at the integers.

REFERENCES

1. Richard R. Goldberg, *Restrictions of Fourier transforms and extension of Fourier sequences*, J. Approximation Theory **3** (1970), 149-155.
2. D. J. Newman, *Some remarks on the convergence of cardinal splines*, M. R. C. Report # 1474.
3. M. J. Marsden, F. B. Richards, and S. D. Riemenschneider, *Cardinal spline interpolation operators on  $l^p$  data*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **24** (1975), 677-689.
4. Franklin Richards, *The Lebesgue constants for cardinal spline interpolation*, J. Approximation Theory **14** (1975), 83-92.
5. Franklin Richards, and I. J. Schoenberg, *Notes on spline functions IV. A cardinal spline analogue of the theorem of the brothers Markov*, Israel J. Math. **16** (1973), 94-102.

6. I. J. Schoenberg, *Contributions to the problem of approximation of equidistant data by analytic functions*, Quart. Appl. Math. **4** (1946): Part A, 45–99; Part B, 112–141.
7. I. J. Schoenberg, *Cardinal interpolation and spline functions*, J. Approximation Theory **2** (1969), 167–206.
8. I. J. Schoenberg, *Cardinal interpolation and spline functions II. Interpolation of the data of power growth*, J. Approximation Theory **6** (1972), 404–420.
9. I. J. Schoenberg, *Cardinal spline interpolation*, in *Regional Conference Series in Applied Math.* **12**, S.I.A.M. Philadelphia, 1973.
10. I. J. Schoenberg, *Notes on spline functions III. On the convergence of the interpolating cardinal splines as their degree tends to infinity*, Israel J. Math. **16** (1973), 87–93.
11. F. Trèves, *Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions and Kernels*, Academic Press, New York, 1967.
12. A. H. Zemanian, *Distribution Theory and Transform Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA  
EDMONTON, CANADA